

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,531	01/23/2004	Tony Hulkkonen	59643.00361	5351
32294 7	7590 09/20/2006		EXAMINER	
	NDERS & DEMPSEY L.	D'AGOSTA, STEPHEN M		
14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			2617	
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)		
		10/762,531	HULKKONEN ET AL	KONEN ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Stephen M. D'Agosta	2617		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addre	ess	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED STATES AND	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA- 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANG	FION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this commonNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) To Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the practice u	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters		nerits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ <b>Applicati</b> 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-47 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and  on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	rawn from consideration.  d/or election requirement.  iner.  ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.  ection is required if the drawing(s) in	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR		
	·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application		

Art Unit: 2617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-47 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

<u>Claims 1-42 and 45-47</u> rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lindgren et al. US 6,775,534 and further in view of Sagers et al. US 5,442,805 and Kowaguchi US 6,201,973.

As per claims 1, 18-20 and 35 and 46-47, Lindgren teaches a method comprising;

Supporting emergency calls in a mobile network (abstract teaches a radio telecommunications system that allows a mobile to make an emergency call), the method comprising:

receiving a network access from a user equipment (C1, L15-20 teaches a "call request");

receiving network access information relating to said user equipment (Abstract teaches the mobile indicating that it is attempting to make an emergency call while C2, L60-65 teaches authenticating the mobile device which requires information from the mobile device, eg. ESN, Phone Number, etc.. The amendment is broadly written and thus broadly interpreted).

Art Unit: 2617

selectively controlling access to the network in dependence on <u>said</u> network access information (C1, L15-20 teaches "situations in which a call request may not be allowed"); and

disabling the step selectively controlling access the network for an emergency call network access (C1, L40-45 teaches "allowing special treatment of emergency calls, so that such a call can be successful even though another voice call would not be allowed");

**but is silent on** said network access information indicating the areas the user equipment is allowed to access.

**Sagers** teaches a method of inhibiting radio communications based on location whereby the dispatch center sends a message to the device (eg. based on it's location) which turns off/on the device (C3, L56 to C4, L34, specifically C4, L5-8). The examiner also notes that **Kowaguchi** teaches a mobile device that can determine it's own location and then use an inhibit table to turn itself Off/On (Abstract), eg. no "network access information" is needed to be received from the network.

With further regard to claims 18-19, Lindgren shows in figures 1-4 the operational procedures that are performed in hardware and software (which reads on a computer program and code).

With further regard to claim 20, Lindgren shows various network element(s) required to support the operational procedures of his invention (see figures 1-4).

With further regard to claims 35 and 46-67, Lindgren teaches access and core networks (see figure 1) and the various steps as outlined above.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Lindgren, such that network access information indicating the areas the user is allowed to access is received, to provide means for turning off/on the phone based on the user's location.

Art Unit: 2617

As per claims 2 and 21, Lindgren teaches claim 1/20 wherein said receiving step includes receiving the network access information that comprises network area access information (C2, L41-53 teaches the mobile phone contacting the cellular network, via PDP Context Request message, which will inherently provide impetus for the cellular network to determine the location/network area access information, in order to provide an RF bearer channel. Lindgren states that the PDP activation includes "requested bandwidth, delay and other quality of service parameters").

As per **claims 3 and 22**, Lindgren teaches claim 1/20 further including the step of determining said network access comprises an emergency call (C2, L54-59 teaches the activation message includes an indication that the call is an emergency call).

As per claims 4, 23 and 37, Lindgren teaches claim 3/22/35 wherein the step determining said network access an emergency call includes receiving an indication type call (C2, L54-59 teaches the activation message includes an "indication" that the call is an emergency call).

As per **claims 5 and 24**, Lindgren teaches claim 4/23 further including the step of receiving the indication of the type of network access from the user equipment or from the network (figure 1 shows the message flows from/to mobile in order for the emergency call setup to occur, which reads on the claim).

As per claims 6 and 25, Lindgren teaches claim 1/20, wherein said selectively controlling step includes selectively controlling the network which comprises an access network and a core network (figure 1 shows call setup control thru/via the RNC and SGSN/GGSN, which is interpreted as access/core networks).

As per **claims 7 and 26,** Lindgren teaches claim 6/25, wherein the steps of controlling and disabling the access to the network are performed in the access network (see figure 1).

Art Unit: 2617

As per **claims 8 and 27**, Lindgren teaches claim 6/24 further comprising determining if said network access is an emergency call in dependence on receipt of an indication of the type of network access from the core network (see figure 1 and C2, L54-59).

As per claims 9 and 28, Lindgren teaches claim 5/24 further comprising a step of activating the step disabling the step selectively controlling access to the network, wherein said activating step activates on receipt of indication of the type of network access being the emergency call (C1, L15-20 teaches "situations in which a call request may not be allowed" AND C1, L40-45 teaches "allowing special treatment of emergency calls, so that such a call can be successful even though another voice call would not be allowed").

As per claims 10 and 29, Lindgren teaches claim 1/20 further comprising detecting network access initiation, and, responsive thereto, disabling the step selectively controlling access to the network (C1, L15-20 teaches "situations in which a call request may not be allowed" AND C1, L40-45 teaches "allowing special treatment of emergency calls, so that such a call can be successful even though another voice call would not be allowed").

As per claims 11, 30 and 40, Lindgren teaches claim 10/29/39 wherein said step includes disabling for a predetermined disabling time period (C1, L15-20 teaches "situations in which a call request may not be allowed", including having an unpaid bill which would have a predetermined disabling time until said bill is fully paid and then service is restored).

As per claims 12, 31 and 39, Lindgren teaches claim 10/28/38 further comprising a step detecting establishment a radio access bearer, and responsive thereto activating step disabling the step selectively controlling access the network for an emergency network access (C1, L15-20 teaches "situations in which a call request may not be allowed" AND C1, L40-45 teaches "allowing special treatment of emergency calls, so that such a call can be successful even though another voice call would not be allowed").

Art Unit: 2617

As per **claims 13 and 41**, Lindgren teaches claim 12/39, further comprising activating the step of disabling the step of selectively controlling access to the network only for the emergency call network access associated with that radio access bearer (C2, L8-59 teaches a mobile within a specified network which will control access to said mobile depending upon if an emergency call is made and thereby supported via a radio access bearer, see L41-59. Also see C3, L59-67 which discusses "setting up voice bearers" to carry emergency voice call).

As per **claim 14,** Lindgren teaches claim 10, further comprising terminating said disabling step responsive to a control signal (C1, L15-23 and C2, L41-59).

As per claims 15 and 31-32, Lindgren teaches claim 6/28 further comprising the step receiving the network access information from the core network (see figure 1 and (C1, L15-23 and C2, L41-59).

As per claims 16, 33, 36 and 42, Lindgren teaches claim 1/20/35/35 further comprising the step of detecting termination of an emergency call, and, responsive thereto, the step of enabling the means for selectively controlling access to the network (C1, L15-23 and C2, L41-59 teaches selectively allowing access to a mobile user for emergency calls. Hence after an emergency call is made, the user will be denied access for normal phone calls that are not emergencies).

As per **claims 17, 34 and 45,** Lindgren teaches claim 1/26/35 further comprising the step providing the method 3GPP mobile communication system and/or a RAN (C1, L23-32 and C2, L60-65 teach 3<sup>rd</sup> generation networks. See figure 1 for RNC in the RAN network elements).

As per **claim 38**, Lindgren teaches claim 36 wherein the means identifying request emergency call comprises input means for receiving an emergency indicator from the core network (C2, L35-40 teaches the user dialing/inputting "911" and the network analyzing these numbers and reacting accordingly, eg. routing the phone call).

Art Unit: 2617

<u>Claims 43-44</u> rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindgren/Sagers/Kowaguchi and further in view of Choi et al. US 6,594,492.

As per claims 43-44, Lindgren teaches claim 35 but is silent on further including means for receiving an indication of emergency call on relocation call to access network AND/OR further including means transmitting an indication the emergency call on relocation of the call another access network.

The primary examiner notes that Lindgren does discuss the fact that the user may be roaming (C5, L12-22) and determining the "identity of the locally geographic VoIP call server that should receive the forthcoming call control signals from the mobile phone" which suggests Lindgren does understand that the location of the mobile user is important and must be determined. Also, since the call is an emergency call, a handover (eg. relocation of the call to another network) must be supported as well.

**Sagers** teaches a method of inhibiting radio communications based on location whereby the dispatch center sends a message to the device (eg. based on it's location) which turns off/on the device (C3, L56 to C4, L34, specifically C4, L5-8). The examiner also notes that **Kowaguchi** teaches a mobile device that can determine it's own location and then use an inhibit table to turn itself Off/On (Abstract), eg. no "network access information" is needed to be received from the network.

Choi teaches handing off an emergency call (C6, L42-53):

"...Reference is now made to FIG. 5 wherein there is shown a message flow and network operation diagram illustrating use of an information request message in accordance with the present invention to request call related information following interexchange <a href="https://docs.ncb/hand-off">hand-off</a> of an emergency services call. An emergency services call (e.g., a 911 call) 500 is currently in existence and has proceeded through a completed inter-exchange hand-off. Thus, both a serving exchange 502 and an anchor exchange 504 are implicated in handling the call 500 between a mobile station 506 and an emergency services center 508..."

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Lindgren, such that it includes means for receiving an indication of emergency call on relocation call to access network AND/OR further including means transmitting

Art Unit: 2617

an indication the emergency call on relocation of the call to another access network, to provide means for supporting the emergency call during relocation/handoff.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- 1. Valentine et al. US 6,011,973 (teaches restricted calling by location)
- 2. Redd US 5,467,388 (teaches allowing emergency calls to go through)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. D'Agosta whose telephone number is 571-272-7862. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

STEVE M. D'AGOSTA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
9-13-00

Page 8